

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/011,634	04/03/1998	MARC ARONHIME	P16370	1164
44909	7590 04/28/2005		EXAMINER	
FENSTER & COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY 2002 LTD.			HESS, BRUCE H	
C/O REED SMITH LLP 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10022-7650		1774	

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			18
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/011,634	ARONHIME ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bruce H. Hess	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	<ol> <li>In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA</li> </ol>	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	<i>(</i> )		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	-14-05 (Amdt.)		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applica	linn		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	awn nom consideration.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		*	
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement		
o) are easyest to restriction and	·		`
Application Papers			:
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•,	
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ul>		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	*	unlication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	·	•	:
application from the International Bure		occin ou in uno riumenta otage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	98) . 5) Notice of Int 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

- 1. Claims 92-115 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 (second paragraph) as being indefinite in the recital of "adapted to receive already formed images <u>first surface</u>" (emphasis added). Have the words "from a" been omitted after "images"? Otherwise, the meaning of the emphasized term is unclear.
- 2. Claims 92-115 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Beltzung et al (USP 5,431,989).

Beltzung et al teach an image transfer member comprising a release layer adapted to receive images and a plurality of sub-layers having different hardnesses. The experimental modification of this prior art in order to ascertain optimum-operating conditions (e.g., determine the specific hardness and thickness of the various layers) fails to render applicants' claims patentable in the absence of unexpected results.

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/011,634 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bruce Hess at telephone number (571) 272-1525.

B. Hess/dh April 18, 2005

> BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300